



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTOR	NEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/823,425 03/30/2001			Bryan G. Yamamoto	MPT-001		9393		
22888	7590	07/06/2004			EXAMINER			
BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP						VU, THONG H		
TRI-VALLEY OFFICE 1432 CONCANNON BLVD., BLDG. G						ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LIVERMORE, CA 94550						2142		

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Ар	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
			/823,425	YAMAN	YAMAMOTO, BRYAN G.					
Offic	e Action Summary	Ex	aminer	Art Uni	t					
		The	ong H Vu	2142						
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover shee	with the correspon	ndence address					
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this comingly specified above is less than thirty (in ply is specified above, the maximum is thin the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. 30) days, a reply within latutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, manth the statutory minimum of all yand will expire SIX (6) Note the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirly (30) days will be con MONTHS from the mailing a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C	nsidered timely. date of this communication 2. § 133).	n.				
Status										
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>30 March</i>	2001.							
		2b)⊠ This actio								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Cla	nims									
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-25 is/are pending in the sea above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. 1-25 is/are rejected. 1-25 is/are objected to. 1-25 are subject to restrict	re withdrawn fr								
Application Paper	rs									
9) The spec	ification is objected to by th	e Examiner.								
10)⊠ The draw	ing(s) filed on 30 March 20	<u>01</u> is/are: a) <u></u> ☐	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.					
	may not request that any obje				` '					
	nent drawing sheet(s) including or declaration is objected to					d).				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119									
a)	edgment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: Pertified copies of the priority Pertified copies of the priority Pertified copies of the priority Popies of the certified copies Popies o	documents have documents have of the priority depicted by the Bureau (PC	ve been received. ve been received ir ocuments have be CT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this	, 					
			BEST AVA	LABLE COI	PY					
Attachment(s)				÷ = -						
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (Fosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Date		Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Appli 						

Application/Control Number: 09/823,425 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

e :

1. Claims 1-25 are pending

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Murray [6,392,668 B1].
- 3. As per claim 1, Murray discloses a data display system implemented by configuring generic client software (i.e.: software module), the data display system comprising:

a data display frame configured to display a current data record [Murray, the browser displays a current page, col 5 lines 52-col 6 line 6]; and

a data list frame configured to display a first set of data identifiers and having a current data identifier marker for indicating a current data identifier corresponding to the current data record [Murray, the software module selects the corresponding marker from the list. The marker generation module inserts the marker along with coordinates indicating proper display position and other parameters into the current page, col 6 lines 48-64].

Application/Control Number: 09/823,425 Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

4. As per claim 2, Murray discloses a parent frame containing the data display frame and the data list frame [Murray, current page 245, and the marker list 262, Fig 2].

- 5. As per claim 3, Murray discloses the parent frame further comprises a plurality of command scripts [Murray, Java applet, col 5 lines 24-35, marker code, col 12 lines 5-10].
- 6. As per claim 4, Murray discloses the data display frame further comprises a next button associated with a next command script from the plurality of command scripts [Murray, a designated button, col 10 lines 8-19].
- 7. As per claim 5, Murray discloses the data display frame further comprises a previous button associated with a previous command script from the plurality of command scripts [Murray, other predefined icon, col 10 lines 46-57].
- 8. As per claim 6, Murray discloses the next command script is configured to request a new current data record as inherent feature of predetermined program [Murray, a predetermined rpogram, col 11 lines 7-25].
- 9. As per claim 7, Murray discloses the next command script is also configured to update the current data identifier marker [Murray, insert code corresponding to a select the marker into the current page, col 6 line 28-col 7 line 5].

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 09/823,425

Art Unit: 2142

10. As per claim 8, Murray discloses the next command script is also configured to

Page 4

request a second set of data identifiers when the current data record corresponds to a

last data identifier in the first set of data identifiers as inherent feature of a list identifier

[Murray, identifier list 260, Fig 2].

11. As per claim 9, Murray discloses the current data identifier marker is an arrow as

a design choice.

12. As per claim 10, Murray discloses the current data identifier marker is signified by

highlighting the current data identifier [Murray, highlighting effects, col 5 lines 37-50; col

6 lines 28-47].

13. As per claim 11, Murray discloses the data list frame includes a set of status

markers for the set of data identifiers [Murray, marker list 262 and identifier list 260, Fig

2].

14. As per claim 12, Murray discloses the data display system is an email client

[Murray, email, col 5 lines 23-50].

15. As per claim 13, Murray discloses the generic client software is a web browser

[Murray, web browser 242, Fig 2].

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Murray [6,392,668 B1] in view of Swartz et al [Swartz 6,236,994 B1].
- 17. As per claim 14, Murray discloses a method of configuring generic client software (to synchronize a first frame with second frame), the method comprising:

creating a parent frame (i.e.: client software) containing the first frame (i.e.:

marker list) and the second frame (i.e.: identifier list) [Murray, client software 248, Fig2];

storing a plurality of commands for the first frame and second frame in the parent frame [Murray, market list 262 and identifier list 260, Fig 2]; and

storing a plurality of variables for the first frame and second frame in the parent frame [Murray, parsing and comparison 266, Fig 2].

However Murray does not detail the client software synchronize a first frame with second frame. It was well-known in the Internet art that a software could integrate the metadata from different sources or frames as taught by Swartz [Swartz, application interoperability and synchronization between document and data sources, col 20 line 65-col 21 line 17; send mail; col 11 lines 1-67; Web based reports 124, Fig 3].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the client software synchronize a first

Page 6

Art Unit: 2142

. . .

frame (document) with a second frame (data source) as taught by Swartz into the Murray's apparatus in order to utilize the client software. Doing so would provide the efficiency and flexibility to access and retrieve data over Internet.

18. Claims 13-25 contain the similar limitations set forth of apparatus claims 2-13.

Therefore, claims 13-25 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claims 2-13.

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Thong Vu, whose telephone number is (703)-305-4643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00AM- 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey, can be reached at (703) 305-9705.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

After Final

(703) 746-7238

Official:

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official (703) 746-7240

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 11,2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thong Vu Patent Examiner Art Unit 2142

Minn